IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

STATESBORO DIVISION

KENNETH BRANT KICKLIGHTER, S and BOBBY CARLTON KICKLIGHTE	,	
JR.,)	
Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	CV 623-016
BRIAN ADAMS and IREON MOORE,)	
Defendants.)	
\mathbf{C}	ORDER	

Plaintiffs move for reconsideration of the Court's March 27, 2023 Order granting Defendants' motions to stay discovery pending resolution of their motions to dismiss. (Doc.

a motion for reconsideration, but it is an "extraordinary remedy" that should only be utilized

no. 20.) Defendant Moore has filed opposition. (Doc. no. 28.) Courts have discretion to grant

when (1) the controlling law has changed, (2) new evidence has been discovered, or (3) there

is a need to correct clear error or prevent manifest injustice. Raiford v. Nat'l Hills Exch., LLC,

No. 1:11-cv-152, 2016 WL 2908412, at *2 (S.D. Ga. May 17, 2016) (Hall, C.J.); <u>Burger King</u>

Corp. v. Ashland Equities, Inc., 181 F. Supp. 2d 1366, 1369-70 (S.D. Fla. 2002). A motion for

reconsideration is not an opportunity "to present the Court with arguments already heard and

dismissed, or to offer new legal theories or evidence that a party could have presented before

the original decision." Raiford, 2016 WL 2908412, at *2 (citation omitted).

Plaintiffs offer nothing to warrant reconsideration and rely on the same arguments initially made in opposition to the stay. No law has been changed, no new evidence has been presented, and no manifest injustice or clear error have resulted from the Court's Order. Indeed, "courts within the Eleventh Circuit routinely find good cause to stay the discovery period where there is a pending motion to dismiss." <u>Clifton v. Jeff Davis Cnty., Georgia, No. 2:16-CV-108, 2017 WL 2604256, at *2 (S.D. Ga. June 15, 2017)</u>. Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** the motion for reconsideration. (Doc. no. 20.)

SO ORDERED this 24th day of April, 2023, at Augusta, Georgia.

BRIAN K. EPPS

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA